» (d) if so, the action proposed against such guilty officials and action proposed to allot houses to eligible persons only?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI SUBHASH MAHARIA): (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. In some cases irregularities of this nature have been noticed.

(d) As and when irregularities come to notice, the Ministry of Rural Development immediately takes up the matter with the concerned State Governments for appropriate action.

Land Reforms in States

2275. SHRI ANANTA SETHI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Union Government have reviewed the land reforms measures undertaken by various States;

(b) if so, the achievements made by various States in that regard;

(c) whether some guidelines have been prescribed by Central Government for the purpose;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) how far these guidelines have been followed by the State Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI A. RAJA): (a) and (b) Yes Sir. The Union Government reviews, from time to time, land reform measures undertaken by States at various fora including Chief Ministers / Revenue Ministers' Conferences etc. Last Revenue Ministers Conference was held on 17th September, 1998. The State-wise achievements under various land reforms programmes viz. (i) Implementation of land ceiling laws (ii) Distribution of Government wastelands (iii) Distribution of Bhoodan lands (iv) Tenancy reforms, (v) Restoration of alienated tribal land and (vi) Consolidation of land holding programme, are given in the attached Statement *{see* below).

(c) to (e) This Ministry have issued guidelines for distribution of ceiling surplus lands based on the recommendations of the Chief Minister's Conference held in July, 1972. The States have been distributing ceiling surplus land according to the guidelines fixed for the purpose.

Statement

A. State-wise details of implementation of Ceiling Laws (September, 1999)

(Area in acres)

SL State/UTs	Area Distributed to individuals	Total no. of beneficiaries	SC No. of beneficiaries	SC Area	ST No. of beneficiaries	ST Area	Others No. of beneficiareis	Area
1. Andhra Pradesh	580007	533590	225644	230285	83400	120933	224550	228790
2. Assam	483951	444997	43720	46063	42361	58985	358916	37890
3. Bihar	308549	380161	234532	181791	43004	39937	102625	86821
4. Gujarat	116658	32016	14828	83948	13121	29272	4067	24822
S. Haryana	101615	29109	12910	45035	NR	NR	16204	56700
6. Himachal Pradesh	4374	6365	3896	2714	332	249	2137	1411
7. Jammu & Kashmir	450000	450000	NR	NR	NR	NR	450000	45000
8. Karnataka	119484	32560	19675	72655	1164	3966	11809	43022
9. Kerala	65957	154161	66535	15997	7629	5200	79997	34760
10. Madhya Pradesh	188563	73870	21793	49752	27906	74093	24171	64717
11. Maharashtra	652606	141366	40660	161820	29348	100163	65678	26407
"12. Manipur	1682	1258	96	128	72	97	1090	1457
13. Orissa	155864	137711	47367	49520	50833	65252	39553	41115
14. Punjab	104199	28570	11351	44247	NR	NR	17219	59952
IS. Rajasthan	460064	80678	29155	143603	11441	49786	40082	266675
16. Tamil Nadu	172954	137533	62160	66170	204	274	75169	106510
17. Tripura	1598	1424	256	217	359	448	809	933
18. Uttar Pradesh	257895	292838	200008	179970	699	1195	92792	77216
19. WestBengal	1034273		925127	355449	494776	217531	108163	464711
20. D&N Haveli	6851	3353	30	53	3321	6795	2	3
21. Delhi	394	654-	495	277	NR	NR	159	117
22. Pondicherry	1046	1427	840	628	NR	NR	587	418
TOTAL;	5268584	5465174	1961078	1730322	809970	774176	2689246	2653131

NR—Not reported by the State Government.

B. Distribution of Government Wastelands as on September, 1999

S.No.	Name of State/UT	Area Distributed in Lakh Acres
1	Andhra Pradesh	42.02
2.	Assam	5.89
3.	Bihar	13.21
4.	Gujarat	13.81
5.	Haryana	0.00
6.	Himachal Pradesh	0.17
7.	Karnataka	13.72
8.	Kerala	4.57
9.	Madhya Pradesh	0.79
10.	Maharashtra	10.23
11.	Manipur	0.32
12.	Punjab	1.10
13.	Orissa	7.26
14.	Tamil Nadu	2.07
15.	Tripura	1.32
16.	Uttar Pradesh	24.89
17.	West Bengal	4.32
18.	Goa	0.05
19.	Mizoram	0.74
20.	Rajasthan	0.93
21.	Delhi	0.06
	TOTAL	147.47

C. Distribution of Bhoodan Land (upto September, 99)

(Area in lakh acres)

S.No. States	Area		
	Donated	Distributed	Balance
1. Andhra Pradesh	.52	1.10	1.42
2. Assam	0.01	0.01	Nil
3. Bihar	21.18	7.23	13.95
4. Gujarat	0.34'	0.27	0.07
5. Haryana	0.02	0.02	Nil
6. Himachal Pradesh	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
7. J&K	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
8. Karnataka	0.11	0.05	0.06
9. Kerala	0.02	0.02	Nil
10. Madhya Pradesh	1.72	1.41	0.31
11. Maharashtra	1.04	0.27	0.77
12. Orissa	6.39	5.80	0.59
13. Punjab	0.05	0.01	0.04 @
14. Rajasthan	1.15	1.14	0.01
15. Tamil Nadu	0.24	0.21	0.03
16. Uttar Pradesh	4.37	4.21	0.16
17. West Bengal	Neg.	Neg.	Neg.
TOTAL:	39.16	21.75	17.41

@Area not taken possession.

D. Number of tenant conferred ownership rights (or rights protected) and area accrued to them

SI. No. States/Union Territories	No. of Tenants (In lakhs)	Area Accrued (lakh acres)
States		
1. Andhra Pradesh	1.07	" 5.95
2. Arunachal Pradesh	System not prevalent	
3. Assam	29.08	31.75
4. Bihar	NR	NR
S. Gujarat	12.76	25.92
6. Goa	NR	NR
7. Haryana	Tenancy not prevalent	
8. Himachal Pradesh	4.01	NR
9. Jammu and Kashmir	6.10	NR
10. Karnataka	6.05	26.32
11. Kerala	28.42	14.50
12. Madhya Pradesh	Tenancy not prevalent	
13. Maharashtra	14.92	42.90
14. Manipur	NR	NR
15. Meghalaya	Nil	Nil
16. Mizoram	Nil	Nil
17. Nagaland	NR	NR
18. Orissa	1.65	0.98
19. Punjab	NR	NR
20. Rajasthan	18	NR
21. Sikkim	NR	NR
22. Tamil Nadu	4.98	6.95
23. Tripura	0.14	0.39
24. Uttar Pradesh	NR	NR
25. West Bengal.	14.60	NR
Union Territories		
26. A.N. Islands	Nil	Nil
27. Chandigarh	NR	NR
28. D&N Haveli	0.26	0.64
29. Delhi	NR	NR
30. Daman and Diu	NR	NR
31. Lakshadweep	Neg.	Neg.
32. Pondicherry	Neg.	Neg.
All India:	124.22	156.30

NR—Not reported.

E. Areas of Tribal Land Alienated and Restored

1 *'As on November, 1999)*

(Area in acres)

SL	State	No. of cases fioled in the Court	Area	Cases Dispos-ed of by the Court	Area	Cases Rejec-ted	Area	Cases Decided in favour' of Tribals	Area	Cases in which land was restored to	Area	Cases Pend-ing in Court	Area
1.	Andhra Pradesh	65875	287776	58212	256452	31737	150227	26575	106225	23383	94312	7663	31324
2.	Assam	2042	4211	50	19	-	—	50	19	50	19	1992	4192
3.	Bihar	86291	104893	76518	95151	31884	49730	44634	45421	44634	45421	9773	9742
4.	Gujarat	47926	140324	40400	120691	119	497	40281	120194	39503	118259	7526	19633
5.	Karnataka	42582	130373	38521	115021	16687	47159	21834	67862	21834	67862	4061	15352
6.	Madhya Pradesh	53806	158398	29596	97123	29596	97123	NR	NR	NR	NR	24210	61275
7.	Maharashtra	45634	NR	44624	99486*	24681	NR	19943	99486	19943	99486	1010	NR
8.	Orissa	1431	1712	594	816	15?.	204	442	612	212	455	837	896
9.	Rajasthan	651	2300	240	774	53	187	187	587	187	587	411	1526
10.	Tripura	28926	25295	28888	25274	20084	18366	8804	6908	8551	6732	38	21
	Total:	375164	855282	317643	810807	154993	363493	162650	447314	158297	433133	57521	**143961

*Inclusive

**figures at

F. Consolidation of Land Holdings—Statewise

(Area in lakh acres)

S.No.	States/UTs	Area Consolidated
1.	Andhra Pradesh	8.18*
2.	Bihar	96.05*
3.	Gujarat	69.88
4.	Haryana	104.38
5.	Himachal Pradesh	29.91
6.	Jammu & Kashmir	1.37*
7.	Karnataka	26.76#
8.	Madhya Pradesh	95.53
9.	Maharashtra	526.50$
10.	Orissa	26.74
11.	Punjab	103.74
12.	Rajasthan	2.30@
13.	Uttar Pradesh	481.63
14.	Delhi	2.33
	TOTAL:	1615.30

*Scheme has been discontinued (w.e.f. July, 92 in Bihar).

#Consolidation of holding Act, 1966 has been repealed in 1991.

$ Implementation of the scheme stopped w.e.f. 1.4.93.

@Scheme discontinued from 1965.

Computerisation of the Survey Records in Karnataka

2276. SHRI JANARDHANA POOJARY: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government had approved the pilot project proposal of the Government of Karnataka for computerisation of the survey records and digitisation of village maps;

(b) if so, the details of the proposal;

(c) whether a sum of Rs. 36.10 lakh remains to be disbursed to the State Government; and